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#### Abstract

Two new cycloartane-type triterpenes have been isolated from the roots of Mangifera indica. Their structures were determined as cycloartan-3 $\beta, 30$-diol and cycloartan-30ol, respectively, on the basis of chemical and spectroscopic methods.


Earlier investigations of Mangifera indica L. (Anacardiaceae) have led to the isolation of alkylgallates, amino acids, sugars, billavones, and saponins (1-5). The present study on the roots of this species has yielded two new triterpenes, 1 and 2.

Compound 1 showed an $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$peak at $m / z 444.3928$ (hrms), which corresponded to the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (calcd 444.3954) and indicated that 1 was a triterpene with five doublebond equivalents. The ir spectrum revealed the presence of an OH group ( 3460 and $3430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a cyclopropane ring ( $3040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and a gem-dimethyl group ( $1380 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Additional bands between 1180 and $930 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ agreed with a $3 \beta$ $\mathrm{OH}, 5 \alpha$-structure (6).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ MHz ) of 1 showed signals due to three secondary methyl groups (doublets at $\delta$ $0.90, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\delta 0.87, J=6.72$ Hz ), three tertiary methyl groups (singlets at $\delta 0.95,0.92$, and 0.88 ) and characteristic doubletsat $\delta 0.56(J=4.18$ Hz ) and $\delta 0.33(J=4.26 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for nonequivalent protons of a cyclopropyl me-


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\alpha \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{\beta OH} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \\
\text { 1a } & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\alpha \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{\beta OAc} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAA} \\
\text { 1b } & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CHO}
\end{array}
$$

thylene group. In addition, there were signals due to a methine proton attached to a carbon bearing a hydroxyl group ( $\delta$ $3.27,1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}}=11.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}}=4.32 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and a methylene group of a primary alcohol with no proton on the adjacent carbon atom ( $\delta 3.64,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}$, $J=12.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum showed 30 carbon atoms. The multiplicity of each carbon atom was determined using DEPT experiments $(7,8)$ which revealed 6 methyl, 13 methylene, and 6 methine carbon atoms. The number of quaternary carbons was determined by subtracting these from the broad-band ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr spectrum.

Both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectra indicated the presence of one primary and one secondary hydroxyl group in 1, which were confirmed by acetylation of $\mathbf{1}$ to a diacetate 1a and oxidation with Jones reagent to $\mathbf{1 b}$ bearing both aldehydic and ketonic groups.

The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ was characteristic of cycloartane-type triterpenes $(9,10)$. The genesis of various fragments was confirmed by link-scan measurements. The strain imposed on ring $B$ was relieved by opening of the 9,10 -bond followed by cleavage of the 5,6 -bond and McLafferty rearrangement. The characteristic ion at $m / z 288.2798\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36}\right)$ represented the fragmentation inducted by a cyclopropane ring in 9,19-cyclosterols and related tetracyclic triterpenes (11). Loss of the side-chain from this ion gave another fragment at $m / z \quad 175.14161$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19}\right)$. On the other hand, the direct loss of the side-chain from the parent ion
gave a fragment at $m / z 331.2601$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. Further ions at $m / z 429.3689$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 426.3837\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}\right)$, and $411.3597\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}\right)$ originated by the loss of a methyl radical, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and methyl plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, respectively, from the molecular ion. It was observed that all of these fragment ions were formed through the same route in cycloartanol $(9,10)$, which helped to show that compound 1 differs from cycloartanol only in having one additional hydroxyl group.

The ions at $m / z 331.2601$ and 175.1461 suggested that both hydroxyl groups are present in rings $A$ and $B$. This conclusion was further supported by nmr chemical shifts of various carbon atoms of rings C and D and the side-chain, which were in close agreement with those of cycloartanol.

On biogenetic grounds and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-correlated nmr spectroscopy, the secondary hydroxyl group was assigned to $\mathrm{C}-3$. The carbinylic proton at $\delta 3.27$ showed cross-peaks with two other protons limiting it to either position 1 or 3 . However, the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{C}-1$ through C-3 showed close correlations with those of cycloartanol providing evidence for the hydroxyl group being affixed to $\mathrm{C}-3$ rather than $\mathrm{C}-1$. The chemical shift and coupling constants of the carbinylic proton are in accord with its axial and $\alpha$-orientation.

The remaining question was the position of the primary hydroxyl group. Careful comparison of the ms and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr spectrum of 1 with those of cycloartanol indicated that the primary hydroxyl group could be assigned to either C-29 or C-30. The latter proved to be correct by interpretation of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ data for the methylene group in 1 and 1 a which agreed with those for axially oriented $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}$ groups, respectively (12). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1 b}$ also showed a signal characteristic of an aldehydic proton at $\delta 9.71$, corresponding to an axial orientation (13). The long-range ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-correlated spectrum
(COLOC) of $\mathbf{1 b}$ showed a cross-peak between the aldehydic carbon and the methyl protons of $\mathrm{C}-29$. Hence the hydroxymethyl group in $\mathbf{1}$ was assigned to $\mathrm{C}-30$ in a $\beta$ - and axial orientation. The stereostructure of this compound is therefore represented as 1 .

Compound 2 showed an $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$peak at $m / z 428.3977$ (hrms) corresponding to a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}$ (calcd 428.4005), indicating five double-bond equivalents in the molecule. The ir spectrum showed an OH group ( $3420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a cyclopropane ring ( $3040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and a gem-dimethyl group ( $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \\
& 2 \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc} \\
& \text { 2b } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHO}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum of 2 showed signals for three secondary methyl groups (doublets at $\delta 0.90, J=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\delta$ $0.86, J=6.72 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) three tertiary methyl groups (singlets at $\delta 0.94,0.91$, and 0.88 ) besides the characteristic doublets at $\delta 0.56(J=4.21 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\delta 0.33$ ( $J=4.28 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for a cyclopropane ring. In addition, there was a signal due to a methylene group for a primary alcohol with no proton on the adjacent carbon atom ( $\delta 3.62,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr spectrum showed 30 carbon atoms whose multiplicities were determined by DEPT experiments $(7,8)$, which revealed the presence of 6 methyl, 14 methylene, and 5 methine carbon atoms. Both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr spectra showed one primary hydroxyl group in 2 which was confirmed by acetylation of 2 to a monoacetate 2a and oxidation with Jones reagent to $\mathbf{2 b}$.

The mass spectrum of 2 was also characteristic of cycloartane-type triterpenes $(9,10)$. The diagnostic ions at $m / z 288.2796\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36}\right)$ and 175.1462 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19}\right)$ indicated that compound 2 is a tetracyclic triterpene (11). Further ions at $m / z 315.2651\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}\right), 413.3738$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}\right), 410.3886\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{50}\right)$ and $395.3645\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{47}\right)$ were generated by the loss of side-chain, methyl radical, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and methyl plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, respectively, from the molecular ion. All of these fragments have compositions similar to those observed for the corresponding ions of cycloartanol, demonstrating that compound $\mathbf{2}$ is an isomer of cycloartanol, the former differing from the latter in having a primary alcoholic group in ring A. This was further supported by chemical shifts of various carbon atoms of rings $B, C, D$, and the side-chain, which showed close agreement with those of cycloartanol.

On the basis of ms and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ data it was concluded that the hydroxymethyl group in $\mathbf{2}$ is at $\mathrm{C}-29$ or $\mathrm{C}-30$. The latter was proved to be correct by analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ data of 2 and $\mathbf{2 a}$, which agreed with the presence of an axial $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}$ group, respectively (12). The aldehydic proton at $\delta 9.72$ in $\mathbf{2 b}$ also confirmed axial orientation (13). The COLOC experiment revealed a cross-peak between carbon and methyl protons of $C$ 29. The hydroxymethyl group in 2 was therefore assigned to $\mathrm{C}-30$ in a $\beta$-axial orientation and the stereostructure of compound 2 is as shown in 2.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Generalexperimental procedures.-The ir spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. DEPT nmr experiments were carried out with $\theta=45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, and $135^{\circ}$, with quaternary carbons being determined by subtraction of these spectra from the respective broad-band ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectra. The hrms were recorded on a double-focusing instrument coupled to a PDP 11/34 computer system. The ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H}$-nmr spectra ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) were recorded at 300 MHz with TMS as internal reference. The 2D COSY- 45 experiments were acquired at 300 MHz with a sweep width of 4000 Hz ( 2 K data points in $w_{2}$ ) and 2000 Hz ( $256 \mathrm{t}_{1}$ values zero-filled to 1 K ) in $\mathrm{w}_{1}$. The heteronuclear two-dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$
chemical shift correlation experiments were carried out at 300 MHz with a sweep width of 12820 $\mathrm{Hz}\left(2 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ data point in $\mathbf{w}_{2}$ ) and 1024 Hz ( $256 \mathrm{t}_{1}$ values zero-filled to 2 K ) in $\mathbf{w}_{1}$. In both the 2 D amr experiments a 2 sec relaxation delay was used and 16 transients were performed for each $t_{1}$ value.

Plant material.-Mangifera indica roots were collected from the Karachi region and were identified by the Department of Botany, University of Karachi. A voucher specimen has been deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Botany, University of Karachi (voucher No. KUH 4378).

Extraction andisolation.-Theair-dried roots ( 2 kg ) were crushed into small pieces and then extracted three times with MeOH (total 5 liters). The combined MeOH extract was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a gummy residue which was partitioned between hexane and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The residue recovered from the hexane fraction was chromatographed over activated Si gel and elution was carried out with solvent gradients of increasing polarity consisting of hexane, hexane $/ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, and MeOH . The hexane- $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ eluents (4.5:5.5 and 4:6) yielded a crystalline residue which, on repeated crystallization from a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH , provided colorless fine needles of compound 2 ( 16 mg ) and compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( 18 mg ), respectively.

Cycloartan-3及,30-diol [1].—Mp 196-198 ${ }^{\circ}$; $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}+34.9^{\circ}\left(c=0.18, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ ir, see text; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.78$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-30\right), 3.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}_{2} J_{\mathrm{as}}=11.21 \mathrm{~Hz} \sqrt{2}, \mathrm{c}=4.32\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 0.95$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), 0.92 (3H, s, Me29), 0.90 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ and -27 ), $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 21), 0.56 and 0.33 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.18$ and 4.26 Hz , $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}-19\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.43 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 31.91(\mathrm{C}-$ 1), 30.33 (C-2), $78.60(\mathrm{C}-3), 39.61$ (C-4), 47.08 (C-5), 21.00 (C-6), 28.08 (C-7), 47.84 (C-8), 20.10 (C-9), 26.20 (C-10), 26.01 (C-11), 35.62 (C-12), 45.13 (C-13), $48.79(\mathrm{C}-14), 32.71(\mathrm{C}-15)$, 26.51 (C-16), 52.21 (C-17), 17.91 (C-18), 29.81 (C-19), 36.01 (C-20), 18.30 (C-21), 36.42 (C-22), 24.01 (C-23), 39.41 (C-24), 28.21 (C-25), 22.51 (C-26), 22.71 (C-27), 19.32 (C-28), 22.01 (C-29), and $63.14(\mathrm{C}-30)$; ms $m / z 444,429,426,411,331$, 288, 175.

Acetylation of 1.-Compound $1(5 \mathrm{mg})$ was refluxed with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{ml})$ in pyridine ( 1 ml ) for 30 min . The reaction mixture was worked up in the usual manner to yield acetate $\mathbf{1 a}(3.7 \mathrm{mg})$ that was crystallized from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{mp}$ $212-214^{\circ} ;\{\alpha] \mathrm{D}+31.2^{\circ}\left(c=0.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ir $\nu$ $\max 3040,1720,1380$, and $1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.76$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-30), 3.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{2,2}=11.28 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, and $\left.J_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}}=4.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 2.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}), 2.10(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}), 0.95$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), 0.93 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-29$ ),
$0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ and -27$), 0.88$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28$ ), 0.87 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.57 and $0.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.19$ and $4.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ 19); ms m/z 532, 517, 472, 412, 288, 175.

Oxidation of 1.-Compound 1 ( 7 mg ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and then treated with Jones reagent ( 2.5 ml ) (prepared by dissolving 5 mg $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$ in $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and diluting with $2 \mathrm{ml}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Removal of solvent yielded 1b, mp $220-222^{\circ} ;[\alpha] \mathrm{D}+28.17^{\circ}\left(c=0.14, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ir $v$ $\max 3040,2850,2750,1720-1695$, and 1380 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, - CHO ), 0.98 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-29$ ), 0.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), $0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ and -27$), 0.88$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28$ ), 0.86 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.57 and $0.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.21\right.$ and $4.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ 19); ms m/z 440, 425, 288, 175.

Cycloartan-30-ol [2].-Mp 180-182 ${ }^{\circ}$; [ $\alpha$ ]D $+27.38^{\circ}\left(c=0.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ir, see text; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.18$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-30$ ), 0.94 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), 0.91 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 29), $0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ and -27$)$, $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 21), 0.56 and $0.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.21$ and 4.28 Hz , $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}-19\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.43 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 831.91(\mathrm{C}-$ 1), 30.34 (C-2), 29.84 (C-3), 39.80 (C-4), 47.09 (C-5), 21.01 (C-6), 28.10 (C-7), 47.84 (C-8), 20.10 (C-9), 26.21 (C-10), 26.02 (C-11), 35.62 (C-12), 45.13 (C-13), 48.79 (C-14), 32.71 (C-15), 26.52 (C-16), 52.21 (C-17), 17.91 (C-18), 29.81 (C-19), 36.02 (C-20), 18.30 (C-21), 36.42 (C-22), 24.01 (C-23), 39.42 (C-24), 28.21 (C-25), 22.51 (C-26), 22.71 (C-27), 19.33 (C-28), 22.03 (C-29), and $62.98(\mathrm{C}-30) ; \mathrm{ms} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 428,413,410,395,315$, 288, 175.

Acetylation of 2.-Compound $2(5 \mathrm{mg})$ was refluxed with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{ml})$ in pyridine ( 1 ml ) for 30 min . The reaction mixture was worked up in the usual manner to yield acetate $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( 3.5 mg ) which was crystallized from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, mp $198-200^{\circ} ;[\alpha] \mathrm{D}+24.2^{\circ}\left(c=0.14, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ ir $\nu$ $\max 3045,1710,1375$, and $1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.78$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-30\right), 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 18), $0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-29), 0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.99 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

Me-26 and -27), 0.87 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28$ ), 0.86 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-19$ ); ms m/z $470,410,455,288$, 175.

Oxidation of 2.-Compound 2 ( 7 mg ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and then treated with Jones reagent ( 2.5 ml ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Removal of solvent yielded 2b, as colorless needles, mp 204-206 ${ }^{\circ}$; $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}+21.46^{\circ}\left(c=0.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ir $v \max 3040$, $2850,2750,1710$, and $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 9.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CHO}), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 29), 0.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), $0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-28$ ), 0.87 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.52 and $0.33(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=4.22$ and $4.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-19$ ); ms $m / z 426,398$, 411, 288, 175.
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