

Subscriber access provided by ISTANBUL TEKNIK UNIV

# New Triterpenes from Mangifera indica

Mohammad Ataullah Khan, Shaikh Sirajuddin Nizami, Muhammad Najmul Islam Khan, Syed Wasif Azeem, and Zaheer Ahmed

> J. Nat. Prod., 1994, 57 (7), 988-991• DOI: 10.1021/np50109a018 • Publication Date (Web): 01 July 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 4, 2009

## More About This Article

The permalink http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np50109a018 provides access to:

- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article



Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

### NEW TRITERPENES FROM MANGIFERA INDICA

MOHAMMAD ATAULLAH KHAN,\* SHAIKH SIRAJUDDIN NIZAMI, MUHAMMAD NAJMUL ISLAM KHAN, SYED WASIF AZEEM, and ZAHEER AHMED

Department of Chemistry, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

ABSTRACT.—Two new cycloartane-type triterpenes have been isolated from the roots of *Mangifera indica*. Their structures were determined as cycloartan-3 $\beta$ ,30-diol and cycloartan-30-ol, respectively, on the basis of chemical and spectroscopic methods.

Earlier investigations of Mangifera indica L. (Anacardiaceae) have led to the isolation of alkylgallates, amino acids, sugars, biflavones, and saponins (1-5). The present study on the roots of this species has yielded two new triterpenes, 1 and 2.

Compound 1 showed an  $[M]^+$  peak at m/z 444.3928 (hrms), which corresponded to the molecular formula  $C_{30}H_{52}O_2$  (calcd 444.3954) and indicated that 1 was a triterpene with five doublebond equivalents. The ir spectrum revealed the presence of an OH group (3460 and 3430 cm<sup>-1</sup>), a cyclopropane ring (3040 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and a gem-dimethyl group (1380 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Additional bands between 1180 and 930 cm<sup>-1</sup> agreed with a 3β-OH, 5α-structure (6).

The <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) of **1** showed signals due to three secondary methyl groups (doublets at  $\delta$  0.90, J=7.18 Hz and  $\delta$  0.87, J=6.72 Hz), three tertiary methyl groups (singlets at  $\delta$  0.95, 0.92, and 0.88) and characteristic doublets at  $\delta$ 0.56(J=4.18 Hz) and  $\delta$  0.33 (J=4.26 Hz) for non-equivalent protons of a cyclopropyl me-



**1**  $R_1 = \alpha H, \beta O H$   $R_2 = CH_2O H$  **1a**  $R_1 = \alpha H, \beta O A c$   $R_2 = CH_2O A c$ **1b**  $R_1 = O$   $R_2 = CHO$ 

thylene group. In addition, there were signals due to a methine proton attached to a carbon bearing a hydroxyl group ( $\delta$ 3.27, 1H, dd, J<sub>aa</sub>=11.21 Hz and  $J_{ac}$ =4.32 Hz) and a methylene group of a primary alcohol with no proton on the adjacent carbon atom (§ 3.64, 2H, ABq, J=12.78 Hz). The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectrum showed 30 carbon atoms. The multiplicity of each carbon atom was determined using DEPT experiments (7,8) which revealed 6 methyl, 13 methylene, and 6 methine carbon atoms. The number of quaternary carbons was determined by subtracting these from the broad-band <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectrum.

Both the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra indicated the presence of one primary and one secondary hydroxyl group in **1**, which were confirmed by acetylation of **1** to a diacetate **1a** and oxidation with Jones reagent to **1b** bearing both aldehydic and ketonic groups.

The mass spectrum of **1** was characteristic of cycloartane-type triterpenes (9,10). The genesis of various fragments was confirmed by link-scan measurements. The strain imposed on ring B was relieved by opening of the 9,10-bond followed by cleavage of the 5,6-bond and McLafferty rearrangement. The characteristic ion at m/z 288.2798 (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>) represented the fragmentation inducted by a cyclopropane ring in 9,19-cyclosterols and related tetracyclic triterpenes (11). Loss of the side-chain from this ion gave another fragment at m/z 175.14161  $(C_{13}H_{19})$ . On the other hand, the direct loss of the side-chain from the parent ion gave a fragment at m/z 331.2601 (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). Further ions at m/z 429.3689 (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>49</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), 426.3837 (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O), and 411.3597 (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>47</sub>O) originated by the loss of a methyl radical, H<sub>2</sub>O and methyl plus H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, from the molecular ion. It was observed that all of these fragment ions were formed through the same route in cycloartanol (9,10), which helped to show that compound **1** differs from cycloartanol only in having one additional hydroxyl group.

The ions at m/z 331.2601 and 175.1461 suggested that both hydroxyl groups are present in rings A and B. This conclusion was further supported by nmr chemical shifts of various carbon atoms of rings C and D and the side-chain, which were in close agreement with those of cycloartanol.

On biogenetic grounds and by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H-correlated nmr spectroscopy, the secondary hydroxyl group was assigned to C-3. The carbinylic proton at  $\delta$  3.27 showed cross-peaks with two other protons limiting it to either position 1 or 3. However, the chemical shifts of C-1 through C-3 showed close correlations with those of cycloartanol providing evidence for the hydroxyl group being affixed to C-3 rather than C-1. The chemical shift and coupling constants of the carbinylic proton are in accord with its axial and  $\alpha$ -orientation.

The remaining question was the position of the primary hydroxyl group. Careful comparison of the ms and <sup>13</sup>Cnmr spectrum of 1 with those of cycloartanol indicated that the primary hydroxyl group could be assigned to either C-29 or C-30. The latter proved to be correct by interpretation of <sup>1</sup>H-nmr data for the methylene group in 1 and 1a which agreed with those for axially oriented-CH2OH and -CH2OAc groups, respectively (12). The <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectrum of 1b also showed a signal characteristic of an aldehydic proton at  $\delta$  9.71, corresponding to an axial orientation (13). The long-range <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C-correlated spectrum (COLOC) of **1b** showed a cross-peak between the aldehydic carbon and the methyl protons of C-29. Hence the hydroxymethyl group in **1** was assigned to C-30 in a  $\beta$ - and axial orientation. The stereostructure of this compound is therefore represented as **1**.

Compound 2 showed an  $[M]^+$  peak at m/z 428.3977 (hrms) corresponding to a molecular formula of  $C_{30}H_{52}O$  (calcd 428.4005), indicating five double-bond equivalents in the molecule. The ir spectrum showed an OH group (3420 cm<sup>-1</sup>), a cyclopropane ring (3040 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and a gem-dimethyl group (1375 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



The <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectrum of **2** showed signals for three secondary methyl groups (doublets at  $\delta$  0.90, J=6.98 Hz and  $\delta$ 0.86, J = 6.72 Hz) three tertiary methyl groups (singlets at  $\delta$  0.94, 0.91, and 0.88) besides the characteristic doublets at  $\delta$  0.56 (J=4.21 Hz) and  $\delta$  0.33 (J=4.28 Hz) for a cyclopropane ring. In addition, there was a signal due to a methylene group for a primary alcohol with no proton on the adjacent carbon atom ( $\delta$  3.62, 2H, ABq, J=12.18 Hz). The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectrum showed 30 carbon atoms whose multiplicities were determined by DEPT experiments (7,8), which revealed the presence of 6 methyl, 14 methylene, and 5 methine carbon atoms. Both the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra showed one primary hydroxyl group in 2 which was confirmed by acetylation of 2 to a monoacetate 2a and oxidation with Jones reagent to 2b.

The mass spectrum of 2 was also characteristic of cycloartane-type triterpenes (9,10). The diagnostic ions at m/z 288.2796 (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>) and 175.1462  $(C_{13}H_{19})$  indicated that compound 2 is a tetracyclic triterpene (11). Further ions at m/z 315.2651 (C22H35O), 413.3738  $(C_{29}H_{49}O)$ , 410.3886  $(C_{30}H_{50})$  and 395.3645 (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>47</sub>) were generated by the loss of side-chain, methyl radical, H<sub>2</sub>O and methyl plus  $H_2O$ , respectively, from the molecular ion. All of these fragments have compositions similar to those observed for the corresponding ions of cycloartanol, demonstrating that compound 2 is an isomer of cycloartanol, the former differing from the latter in having a primary alcoholic group in ring A. This was further supported by chemical shifts of various carbon atoms of rings B, C, D, and the side-chain, which showed close agreement with those of cycloartanol.

On the basis of ms and <sup>13</sup>C-nmr data it was concluded that the hydroxymethyl group in **2** is at C-29 or C-30. The latter was proved to be correct by analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H-nmr data of **2** and **2a**, which agreed with the presence of an axial -CH<sub>2</sub>OH and -CH<sub>2</sub>OAc group, respectively (12). The aldehydic proton at  $\delta$  9.72 in **2b** also confirmed axial orientation (13). The COLOC experiment revealed a cross-peak between carbon and methyl protons of C-29. The hydroxymethyl group in **2** was therefore assigned to C-30 in a  $\beta$ -axial orientation and the stereostructure of compound **2** is as shown in **2**.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.—The ir spectra were recorded in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. DEPT nmr experiments were carried out with  $\theta$ =45°, 90°, and 135°, with quaternary carbons being determined by subtraction of these spectra from the respective broad-band <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra. The hrms were recorded on a double-focusing instrument coupled to a PDP 11/34 computer system. The <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectra (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) were recorded at 300 MHz with TMS as internal reference. The 2D COSY-45 experiments were acquired at 300 MHz with a sweep width of 4000 Hz (2K data points in w<sub>2</sub>) and 2000 Hz (256 t<sub>1</sub> values zero-filled to 1K) in w<sub>1</sub>. The heteronuclear two-dimensional <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C chemical shift correlation experiments were carried out at 300 MHz with a sweep width of 12820 Hz (2K data point in  $w_2$ ) and 1024 Hz (256 t<sub>1</sub> values zero-filled to 2 K) in  $w_1$ . In both the 2D nmr experiments a 2 sec relaxation delay was used and 16 transients were performed for each t<sub>1</sub> value.

PLANT MATERIAL.—*Mangifera indica* roots were collected from the Karachi region and were identified by the Department of Botany, University of Karachi. A voucher specimen has been deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Botany, University of Karachi (voucher No. KUH 4378).

EXTRACTION AND ISOLATION .- The air-dried roots (2 kg) were crushed into small pieces and then extracted three times with MeOH (total 5 liters). The combined MeOH extract was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a gummy residue which was partitioned between hexane and H<sub>2</sub>O. The residue recovered from the hexane fraction was chromatographed over activated Si gel and elution was carried out with solvent gradients of increasing polarity consisting of hexane, hexane/CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, and MeOH. The hexane-CHCl<sub>3</sub> eluents (4.5:5.5 and 4:6) yielded a crystalline residue which, on repeated crystallization from a mixture of CHCl<sub>2</sub> and MeOH, provided colorless fine needles of compound 2 (16 mg) and compound 1 (18 mg), respectively.

Cycloartan-3β, 30-diol [1].-Mp 196-198°;  $[\alpha]D + 34.9^{\circ} (c = 0.18, CHCl_3); ir, see text; <sup>1</sup>H nmr$  $(CDCl_3, 300 \text{ MHz}) \delta 3.64 (2H, ABq, J=12.78)$  $H_z, H_2-30$ , 3.27 (1H,  $dd_{J_{a,a}}=11.21 Hz, J_{a,e}=4.32$ Hz, H-3), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.92 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.90 (6H, d, J=7.18 Hz, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.87 (3H, d, J=6.72 Hz, Me-21), 0.56 and 0.33 (2H, dd, J=4.18 and 4.26 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-19); <sup>13</sup>C nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.43 MHz) δ 31.91 (C-1), 30.33 (C-2), 78.60 (C-3), 39.61 (C-4), 47.08 (C-5), 21.00 (C-6), 28.08 (C-7), 47.84 (C-8), 20.10 (C-9), 26.20 (C-10), 26.01 (C-11), 35.62 (C-12), 45.13 (C-13), 48.79 (C-14), 32.71 (C-15), 26.51 (C-16), 52.21 (C-17), 17.91 (C-18), 29.81 (C-19), 36.01 (C-20), 18.30 (C-21), 36.42 (C-22), 24.01 (C-23), 39.41 (C-24), 28.21 (C-25), 22.51 (C-26), 22.71 (C-27), 19.32 (C-28), 22.01 (C-29), and 63.14(C-30); ms m/z 444, 429, 426, 411, 331, 288, 175.

ACETYLATION OF 1.—Compound 1 (5 mg) was refluxed with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (2.5 ml) in pyridine (1 ml) for 30 min. The reaction mixture was worked up in the usual manner to yield acetate 1a (3.7 mg) that was crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, mp 212–214°;  $[\alpha]D + 31.2^{\circ}$  (c=0.12, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); ir  $\nu$ max 3040, 1720, 1380, and 1220 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.94 (2H, ABq, J=12.76Hz, H-30), 3.91 (1H, dd,  $J_{ax}=11.28$  Hz, and  $J_{ax}=4.18$  Hz, H-3), 2.13 (3H, s, OAc), 2.10 (3H, s, OAc), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.93 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.90 (6H, d, J=7.12 Hz, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.87 (3H, d, J=6.81 Hz, Me-21), 0.57 and 0.34 (2H, dd, J=4.19 and 4.24 Hz, H-19); ms m/z 532, 517, 472, 412, 288, 175.

OXIDATION OF 1.—Compound 1 (7 mg) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and then treated with Jones reagent (2.5 ml) (prepared by dissolving 5 mg CrO<sub>3</sub> in 1 ml H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and diluting with 2 ml H<sub>2</sub>O). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Removal of solvent yielded **1b**, mp 220–222°;  $\{\alpha\}D + 28.17^{\circ}$  (c=0.14, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); ir  $\nu$  max 3040, 2850, 2750, 1720–1695, and 1380 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  9.71 (1H, s, -CHO), 0.98 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.90 (6H, d, J=7.18 Hz, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.86 (3H, d, J=6.95 Hz, Me-21), 0.57 and 0.34 (2H, dd, J=4.21 and 4.26 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-19); ms *m/z* 440, 425, 288, 175.

Cycloartan-30-ol [2].---Mp 180-182°; [a]D  $+27.38^{\circ}$  (c=0.21, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); ir, see text; <sup>1</sup>H nmr  $(CDCl_3, 300 \text{ MHz}) \delta 3.62 (2H, ABq, J=12.18)$ Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-30), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.91 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.90 (6H, d, J=6.98 Hz, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.86 (3H, d, J=6.72 Hz, Me-21), 0.56 and 0.33 (2H, dd, J = 4.21 and 4.28 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-19); <sup>13</sup>C nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.43 MHz) δ 31.91 (C-1), 30.34 (C-2), 29.84 (C-3), 39.80 (C-4), 47.09 (C-5), 21.01 (C-6), 28.10 (C-7), 47.84 (C-8), 20.10 (C-9), 26.21 (C-10), 26.02 (C-11), 35.62 (C-12), 45.13 (C-13), 48.79 (C-14), 32.71 (C-15), 26.52 (C-16), 52.21 (C-17), 17.91 (C-18), 29.81 (C-19), 36.02 (C-20), 18.30 (C-21), 36.42 (C-22), 24.01 (C-23), 39.42 (C-24), 28.21 (C-25), 22.51 (C-26), 22.71 (C-27), 19.33 (C-28), 22.03 (C-29), and 62.98 (C-30); ms m/z 428, 413, 410, 395, 315, 288, 175.

ACETYLATION OF 2.—Compound 2 (5 mg) was refluxed with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (2.5 ml) in pyridine (1 ml) for 30 min. The reaction mixture was worked up in the usual manner to yield acetate 2a (3.5 mg) which was crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, mp 198–200°;  $[\alpha]D + 24.2^{\circ}$  (c=0.14, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); ir  $\nu$  max 3045, 1710, 1375, and 1220 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  3.24 (2H, ABq, J=12.78 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-30), 2.12 (3H, s, OAc), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.92 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.90 (6H, d, J=6.99 Hz,

Me-26 and -27), 0.87 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.86 (3H, d, J=6.81 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-19); ms *m*/z 470, 410, 455, 288, 175.

OXIDATION OF 2.—Compound 2 (7 mg) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and then treated with Jones reagent (2.5 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Removal of solvent yielded **2b**, as colorless needles, mp 204–206°; [ $\alpha$ ]D +21.46° (c=0.17, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); ir  $\nu$  max 3040, 2850, 2750, 1710, and 1375 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H nmr(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  9.72 (1H, s, -CHO), 0.97 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.90 (6H, d, J=7.18 Hz, Me-26 and -27), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-28), 0.87 (3H, d, J=7.02 Hz, Me-21), 0.52 and 0.33 (2H, dd, J=4.22 and 4.28 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-19); ms *m*/z 426, 398, 411, 288, 175.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- M.A. Khan and M.N.I. Khan, Fitoterapia, 60, 284 (1989).
- M.A. Khan and M.N.I. Khan, Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 35, 276 (1992).
- M.A. Khan and M.N.I. Khan, Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 31, 833 (1992).
- M.A. Khan, S.S. Nizami, M.N.I. Khan, and S.W. Azeem, Pak. J. Pharm. Sci., 5, 155 (1992).
- M.A. Khan, S.S. Nizami, M.N.I. Khan, and Z. Ahmed, J. Nat. Prod., 56, 767 (1993).
- N. Afza, A. Malik, and S. Siddiqui, Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 22, 173 (1979).
- R. Benn, and H. Gunther, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 22, 350 (1983).
- 8. J.N. Shoolery, J. Nat. Prod., 47, 226 (1984).
- G. Berti, F. Bottari, R. Narsili, I. Morelli, and M. Polvani, *Tetrabedron Lett.*, 125 (1967).
- H.E. Audier, R. Beugelmans, and B.C. Das, Tetrahedron Lett., 4341 (1966).
- 11. R.T. Aplin and G.M. Hornby, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1078 (1966).
- A. Gaudemer, J. Polonsky, and E. Wenkert, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 2, 407 (1964).
- 13. H.A. Lloyd and H.M. Fales, Tetrahedron Lett., 4891 (1967).

Received 23 December 1993